CERTIFYING INCOMPRESSIBILITY OF NON-INJECTIVE SURFACES WITH SCL

DANNY CALEGARI

ABSTRACT. Cooper–Manning [7] and Louder [10] gave examples of maps of surface groups to $\operatorname{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ which are not injective, but are incompressible (i.e. no simple loop is in the kernel). We construct more examples with very simple *certificates* for their incompressibility arising from the theory of stable commutator length.

The purpose of this note is to give examples of maps of closed surface groups to $\operatorname{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ which are not π_1 -injective, but are geometrically incompressible, in the sense that no simple loop in the surface is in the kernel (in the sequel we use the word "incompressible" as shorthand for "geometrically incompressible"). The examples are very explicit, and the images can be taken to be all loxodromic. The significance of such examples is that they shed light on the simple loop conjecture, which says that any non-injective map from a closed oriented surface to a 3-manifold should be compressible.

Examples of such maps were first shown to exist by Cooper–Manning [7], by a representation variety argument, thereby answering a question of Minsky [11] (also see Bowditch [1]). More sophisticated examples were then found by Louder [10]; he even found examples with the property that the minimal self-crossing number of a loop in the kernel can be taken to be arbitrarily large. Louder's strategy is to exhibit an explicit finitely presented group (a limit group) which admits non-injective incompressible surface maps, and then to observe that such a group can be embedded as an all-loxodromic subgroup of $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$.

It is easy to produce examples of non-injective surface groups. What is hard is to certify that they are incompressible. The main point of our construction, and the main novelty and interest of this paper, is to show that stable commutator length (and its cousin Gromov–Thurston norm) can be used to certify incompressibility.

Our examples are closely related to Louder's examples, although our certificates are quite different. So another purpose of this note is to advertise the use of stable commutator length as a tool to get at the kind of information that is relevant in certain contexts in the theory of limit groups.

We move back and forward between (fundamental) groups and spaces in the usual way. We assume the reader is familiar with stable commutator length, and Gromov–Thurston norms in dimension 2. Standard references are [2, 9, 12]. Computations are done with the program scallop, available from [6].

Recall that if X is a $K(\pi, 1)$, the Gromov-Thurston norm of a class $\alpha \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ (denoted $\|\alpha\|$) is the infimum of $-\chi(T)/n$ over all closed, oriented surfaces T without spherical components mapping to X and representing $n\alpha$. Our certificates for incompressibility are guaranteed by the following Proposition.

Date: October 22, 2012.

Proposition (Certificate). Let X be a $K(\pi, 1)$, and let $\alpha \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ be represented by a closed oriented surface S with no torus or spherical components. If there is a strict inequality $\|\alpha\| > -\chi(S) - 2$ (where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes Gromov-Thurston norm) then S is (geometrically) incompressible.

Proof. If S is compressible, then α is represented by the result of compressing S, which is a surface S' with no spherical components, and $-\chi(S') < \|\alpha\|$. But this contradicts the definition of $\|\alpha\|$.

On the other hand, a closed surface S without torus or spherical components representing α and with $-\chi(S) = \|\alpha\|$ is π_1 -injective, so to apply our proposition to obtain examples, we must find examples of spaces X and integral homology classes α for which $\|\alpha\|$ is not equal to $-\chi(S)$ for any closed orientable surface S; i.e. for which $\|\alpha\|$ is not in $2\mathbb{Z}$. Such spaces can never be 3-manifolds, by combined results of Gabai and Thurston [8, 12], so our methods will never directly find a counterexample to the simple loop conjecture.

The groups we consider are all obtained by amalgamating two simpler groups over a cyclic subgroup. The generator of the cyclic group is homologically trivial in either factor, giving rise to a class in H_2 in the big group. The Gromov–Thurston norm of this class is related to the stable commutator length of the loop in the two factors as follows:

Proposition (Amalgamation). Let G be an amalgamated product $G = J *_{\langle w \rangle} K$ along a cyclic group $\langle w \rangle$ which is generated by a loop w which is homologically trivial on either side. Let $\phi: H_2(G; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(\langle w \rangle; \mathbb{Z})$ be the connecting map in the Mayer-Vietoris sequence, and let $H_w \subset H_2(G; \mathbb{Z})$ be the affine subspace mapping to the generator. If w has infinite order in J and K, then

$$\inf_{\alpha \in H_w} \|\alpha\| = 2(\operatorname{scl}_J(w) + \operatorname{scl}_K(w))$$

Proof. This is not difficult to see directly from the definition, and it is very similar to the proof of Theorem 3.4 in [3]. However, for the sake of clarity we give an argument. Note by the way that the hypothesis that w is homologically trivial on either side is equivalent to the statement that the inclusion map $H_1(\langle w \rangle; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(J; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H_1(K; \mathbb{Z})$ is the zero map, so ϕ as above is certainly surjective. Moreover, if $H_2(J; \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_2(K; \mathbb{Z})$ are trivial (as will often be the case below), then ϕ is an isomorphism, and H_w consists of a single class α .

It is convenient to geometrize this algebraic picture, so let X_J and X_K be Eilenberg-MacLane spaces for J and K, and let X_G be obtained from X_J and X_K by attaching the two ends of a cylinder C to loops representing the conjugacy classes corresponding to the images of w in either side. Let γ be the core of C. If S is a closed, oriented surface with no sphere components, and $f: S \to X_G$ represents some $n\alpha$ with $\alpha \in H_w$, then we can homotope f so that it meets γ transversely and efficiently — i.e. so that $f^{-1}(\gamma)$ consists of pairwise disjoint essential simple curves in S. If one of these curves maps to γ with degree zero we can compress S and reduce its complexity, so without loss of generality every component maps with nonzero degree. Hence we can cut S into S_J and S_K each mapping to X_J and X_K respectively and with boundary representing some finite cover of w. By definition this shows $\inf_{\alpha \in H_w} \|\alpha\| \ge 2(\operatorname{scl}_J(w) + \operatorname{scl}_K(w))$.

Conversely, given surfaces S_J and S_K mapping to X_J and X_K with boundary representing finite covers of w (or rather its image in each side), we need to construct

a suitable S as above. First, we can pass to a cover of each S_J and S_K in such a way that the boundary of each maps to w with positive degree; see e.g. [2], Prop. 2.13. Then we can pass to a further finite cover of each so that the set of degrees with which components of ∂S_J and of ∂S_K map over w are the same (with multiplicity); again, see the argument of [2] Prop. 2.13. Once this is done we can glue up S_J to S_K with the opposite orientation to build a surface S mapping to X_G which, by construction, represents a multiple of some α in H_w . We therefore obtain $\inf_{\alpha \in H_w} \|\alpha\| \le 2(\operatorname{scl}_J(w) + \operatorname{scl}_K(w))$ and we are done.

We now show how to use these Propositions to produce examples.

Example 1. Start with a free group; for concreteness, let $F = \langle a,b,c \rangle$. Consider a word $w \in F$ of the form w = [a,b][c,v] for some $v \in F$. Associated to this expression of w as a product of two commutators is a genus 2 surface S with one boundary component mapping to a K(F,1) in such a way that its boundary represents w. This surface is not injective, since the image of its fundamental group is F which has rank 3. Let $G = \langle a,b,c,x,y \mid w = [x,y] \rangle$; i.e. geometrically a K(G,1) is obtained from a K(F,1) by attaching the boundary of a once-punctured torus T to w. The surface $R := S \cup T$ has genus 3, and represents the generator of $H_2(G;\mathbb{Z})$. On the other hand, by the Amalgamation Proposition, the Gromov–Thurston norm of this homology class is equal to $2 \cdot \mathrm{scl}_{\langle x,y \rangle}([x,y]) + 2 \cdot \mathrm{scl}_F(w)$. Since $\mathrm{scl}_{\langle x,y \rangle}([x,y]) = 1/2$ (see e.g. [2] Ex. 2.100), providing $1/2 < \mathrm{scl}(w)$ the result is non-injective but incompressible.

The group G can be embedded in $\operatorname{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ by first embedding F as a discrete subgroup, then embedding $\langle x,y\rangle$ in such a way that [x,y]=w. By conjugating $\langle x,y\rangle$ by a generic loxodromic element with the same axis as w, we can ensure this example is injective, and it can even be taken to be all loxodromic. This follows in the usual way by a Bass–Serre type argument; see e.g. a similar argument in [4], Lem. 1.5.

Almost any word v will give rise to w with scl(w) > 1/2; for example,

$$\operatorname{scl}([a, b][c, aa]) = 1$$

as can be computed using scallop. Experimentally, it appears that if v is chosen to be random of length n, then $\mathrm{scl}(w) \to 3/2$ as $n \to \infty$. For example,

$$scl([a,b][c,bcABBcABCbbcACbcBcbb]) = 7/5$$

The closer scl(w) is to 3/2, the bigger the index of a cover in which some simple loop compresses. This gives a practical method to produce examples for any given k in which no loop with fewer than k self-crossings is in the kernel.

Example 2. Note that the groups G produced in Example 1 are 1-relator groups, which are very similar to 3-manifold groups in some important ways. A modified construction shows they can in fact be taken to be 1-relator fundamental groups of hyperbolic 4-manifolds. To see this, we consider examples of the form $G = \langle a, b, c, x_1, y_1, \cdots, x_g, y_g \mid w = \prod_{i=1}^g [x_i, y_i] \rangle$ i.e. we attach a once-punctured surface T_g of genus g, giving rise to a noninjective incompressible surface $R = S \cup T_g$ of genus g + 2.

Let $\langle a,b,c\rangle$ act discretely and faithfully, stabilizing a totally geodesic \mathbb{H}^3 in \mathbb{H}^4 . We can arrange for the axis ℓ of w to be disjoint from its translates. Thinking of $\langle x_1,y_1,\cdots,x_g,y_g\rangle$ as the fundamental group of a once-punctured surface T_g , we choose a hyperbolic structure on this surface for which ∂T_g is isometric to $\ell/\langle w\rangle$,

and make this group act by stabilizing a totally geodesic \mathbb{H}^2 in \mathbb{H}^4 in such a way that the axis of ∂T_g intersects the \mathbb{H}^3 perpendicularly along ℓ . Providing the shortest essential arc in T_g from ∂T_g to itself is sufficiently long (depending on the minimal distance from ℓ to its translates by $\langle a,b,c\rangle$) the resulting group is discrete and faithful. This follows by applying the Klein–Maskit combination theorem, once we ensure that the limit sets of the conjugates of $\langle a,b,c\rangle$ are contained in regions satisfying the ping-pong hypothesis for the action of $\pi_1(T_g)$. This condition can be ensured by taking g big enough and choosing the hyperbolic structure on T_g accordingly; the details are entirely straightforward.

Example 3. Let H be any nonelementary hyperbolic 2-generator group which is torsion free but not free. Let a, b be the generators. Then the once-punctured torus with boundary [a, b] is not injective. As before, let $G = \langle H, x, y \mid [a, b] = [x, y] \rangle$. Then G contains a genus 2 surface representing the amalgamated class in $H_2(G; \mathbb{Z})$, and the norm of this class is $1 + 2 \cdot \mathrm{scl}_H([a, b]) > 0$, so this example is noninjective but incompressible.

As an example, we could take H to be the fundamental group of a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold of Heegaard genus 2, or a 2-bridge knot complement. Such examples have discrete faithful representations into $PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$.

Example 4. It is easy to produce examples of 2-generator 1-relator groups $H = \langle a,b \mid v \rangle$ in which $1/2 - \epsilon < \mathrm{scl}([a,b]) < 1/2$ for any ϵ . Such groups are torsion-free if v is not a proper power. Just fix some big integer N and take v to be any product of conjugates

$$v = ([a, b]^{\pm N})^{g_1} ([a, b]^{\pm N})^{g_2} \cdots ([a, b]^{\pm N})^{g_m}$$

for which there are as many +N's as -N's. Such an H maps to the Seifert-fibered 3-manifold group $\langle a,b,z\mid [a,b]^N=z^{N-1}, [a,z]=[b,z]=1\rangle$ in which $\mathrm{scl}([a,b])=(N-1)/2N$. The only subtle part of this last equality is the lower bound, which is certified by Bavard duality (see [2] Thm. 2.70) and the existence of a rotation quasimorphism associated to a realization of the fundamental group of the Seifert manifold as a central extension of the fundamental group of a hyperbolic torus orbifold with one orbifold point of order N. Since scl is monotone nonincreasing under homomorphisms, the claim follows.

I would like to thank Lars Louder, Jason Manning and the anonymous referee for helpful conversations and suggestions. I would also like to thank Jason for suggesting that I call this paper "scl, SLC and $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ " and for understanding why I decided not to. Danny Calegari was partly supported by NSF grant DMS 1005246.

References

- B. Bowditch, Markoff triples and quasi-Fuchsian groups, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 77 (1998), no. 3, 697–736
- [2] D. Calegari, scl, MSJ Memoirs, 20. Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2009.
- [3] D. Calegari, Surface subgroups from homology, Geom. Topol. 12 (2008), 1995–2007
- [4] D. Calegari and N. Dunfield, An ascending HNN extension of a free group inside SL(2, C), Proc. AMS 134 (2006), no. 11, 3131–3136
- [5] D. Calegari and K. Fujiwara, Stable commutator length in word hyperbolic groups, Groups, Geom. Dyn. 4 (2010), no. 1, 59–90
- [6] D. Calegari and A. Walker, scallop, computer program available from the authors' webpages and from computop.org

- [7] D. Cooper and J. Manning, Non-faithful representations of surface groups into $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ which kill no simple closed curve, preprint, arXiv:1104.4492
- $[8]\ \ D.\ Gabai,\ Foliations\ and\ the\ topology\ of\ 3-manifolds,\ J.\ Diff.\ Geom.\ {\bf 18}\ (1983),\ no.\ 3,\ 445-503$
- [9] M. Gromov, Volume and bounded cohomology, IHES Pub. Math. (1982), no. 56, 5–99
- [10] L. Louder, Simple loop conjecture for limit groups, preprint, arXiv:1106.1350
- [11] Y. Minsky, Short geodesics and end invariants, Comprehensive research on complex dynamical systems and related fields (Japanese) (Kyoto, 1999). Sūrikaisekikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku No. 1153 (2000), 119
- [12] W. Thurston, $\stackrel{.}{A}$ norm on the homology of 3-manifolds, Mem. AMS. **59** (1986), no. 339, i–vi and 99–130

DPMMS, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 0WA England $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ dcc43@dpmms.cam.ac.uk}$